

06-14-05

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DACH ZIW

CUSTOMER NUMBER 27792

Applicants:

Parasnis et al.

Attorney Docket No: MICR0190

4 | Serial No:

09/746,698

Group Art Unit: 2179

5 | Filed:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

29

December 21, 2000

Examiner: Adam M. Queler

Title:

PROCESS OF LOCALIZING OBJECTS IN MARKUP LANGUAGE

DOCUMENTS

TRANSMITTAL OF PETITION TO REVIVE UNAVOIDABLY ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)/

PLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)/ REQUEST FOR REFUND/

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME/ REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

Bellevue, Washington 98004

June 13, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Attention: Office of Petitions Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper response to the Office Action mailed on November 3, 2004, which set a 3-month shortened statutory period for response. The abandonment date of this application is February 3, 2005.

Applicant hereby petitions for revival of this application.

1. Petition Fee

The petition fee for reviving an unavoidably abandoned application of \$500 (large entity) is included herewith.

1 06/15/2005 MAKHED1 00000073 09746698

25 26 2. Proposed Response and/or Fee

03 FC:1253 1020.00 8F

The proposed response and/or fee to the above-noted Office Action is enclosed in the form of:

-1-

X_Request for Continued Examination

X Preliminary Amendment

X Request for Extension of time

06/15/2005 AAAHU 1-00000073-09746698

02-FC:1801

-790-00-0P

LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON 600 - 108th Avenue N.E., Suite 507 Bellevue, Washington 98004 Telephone: (425) 688-8816 Fax: (425) 646-6314

MICR0190-1-1\0190 Pet TL.doc

-	
1	3. Request for Extension of Time
2	A large entity 3-month Request for Extension of Time to extend the period for response to
3	the Final Office Action dated November 3, 2004, effectively extending the period for response out to
4	the six-month statutory period, to May 3, 2005, is hereby requested. The 3-month large entity
5	extension of time fee of \$1020 is included herewith.
6	4. <u>Fee Payment</u>
7 8	Check No. 8289 in the amount of \$2310 for the \$500 petition fee, \$790 RCE fee,
9	and \$1020 extension of time fee is enclosed.
10	5. Additional Fee Charges or Credit for Overpayment
11	Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account
12	No. 01-1940. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.
13	Respectfully submitted,
14	
15	Ron anderson
16	Ronald M. Anderson
17	Registration No. 28,829
18	EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE
19	Express Mail Label No. EV651959416US Date of Deposit: June 13, 2005
20	I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, Virginia
21	22313-1450.
22	Kathy Paulino Wathy Paulino (Signature of person mailing paper or fee)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	ll

30



CUSTOMER NUMBER 27792

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Parasnis et al.

Attorney Docket No: MICR0190

Serial No: 09

09/746,698 Group Art Unit: 2179

Filed:

December 21, 2000 Examiner: Adam M. Queler

Title:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

PROCESS OF LOCALIZING OBJECTS IN MARKUP LANGUAGE

DOCUMENTS

PETITION TO REVIVE UNAVOIDABLY ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)/ REQUEST FOR REFUND

Bellevue, Washington 98004

June 13, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Attention: Office of Petitions Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Petition to Revive

A Notice of Abandonment regarding the above-identified application, dated May 26, 2005, was received by the undersigned's law firm on June 01, 2005. This Notice indicates that the above-identified application was abandoned because of applicants' failure to timely file a proper reply to the Final Office letter mailed on November 3, 2004. Applicants hereby petition to revive this application, because it was unavoidably abandoned through no fault of applicants, as will be evident from the facts set forth in the following Procedural History for this case.

Procedural History

Responsive to the Office Action dated November 3, 2004, in a timely manner, applicants filed an Amendment Transmittal Letter and an Amendment & Request for Reconsideration of this application on January 03, 2005 (the <u>two</u>-month date for response to a Final Office Action). The first indication that applicants received indicating that the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) did not enter the timely filed Amendment & Request for Reconsideration into the

06/15/2005 MAHMEN1 00000073 09746698 01 FC:1452 500

500.00 OP

LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON 600 - 108th Avenue N.E., Suite 507 Bellevue, Washington 98004 Telephone: (425) 688-8816 Fax: (425) 646-6314

file for this case was on May 6, 2005. Examiner Adam Queler called on that date to enquire whether applicants had intended to abandon the above-identified application. The undersigned attorney advised the Examiner of our timely filing and noted that our file included a return receipt post card showing that the USPTO had received the Amendment Transmittal Letter and Amendment & Request for Reconsideration on January 06, 2005. Examiner Queler indicated that he would discuss the case with his Supervisor (it was now three days beyond the six months since the Final Office Action was mailed) and would call applicants' attorney to advise how the application would be handled. He did agree that a copy of the response previously submitted on January 3, 2005 and a copy of the return receipt postcard that this firm received from the USPTO for that response should be faxed to him. Accordingly, on that same day, a facsimile copy of the postcard, the Amendment Transmittal Letter, and previously submitted Amendment & Request for Reconsideration were transmitted to the USPTO.

Next, an Advisory Action, dated May 19, 2005, was received by applicants' attorney on May 25, 2005. The Advisory Action included an indication that the reply filed on January 03, 2005, failed to place the above-identified application in condition for allowance, and noted that the proposed amendments would not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, and that the period for reply expired on the later of the mailing date of the Advisory action or the date set forth in the final rejection.

Application Abandoned Through No Fault of Applicants

In summary, applicants believe that they are clearly not responsible for the abandonment of this patent application. Through no fault of applicants, the Amendment and Request for Reconsideration filed on January 03, 2005 (two months after the Final Office Action was mailed), was apparently misplaced or lost after its arrival at the USPTO. As a result of the failure of the USPTO to scan the response so that it would be timely brought to the attention of Examiner Queler, the response did not reach him until after the six month period to respond had expired, so that he had no option but to view the application as abandoned.

Had the Amendment Transmittal Letter and Amendment & Request for Reconsideration not been lost or misplaced by the USPTO, applicants would have received the Advisory Action with plenty of time available during the statutory six-month period to timely file a Request for Continued Examination with a Preliminary Amendment being submitted concurrently

therewith. Thus, the case would not have been abandoned, but for the loss of the response by the USPTO.

Therefore, applicants hereby petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(a) for revival of the above-identified application as being unavoidably abandoned, through no fault or inadvertence of applicants or applicants' attorney. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(a)(1) - 1.137(a)(4), a grantable petition satisfies the requirements of this statute, if it includes:

- A reply to the outstanding Office action
- The petition fee
- A showing to the satisfaction of the Director, and
- A terminal disclaimer, if necessary.

The response to the outstanding Final Office Action has already been submitted by facsimile transmission, along with a copy of the returned receipt postcard showing that the USPTO received the response on January 06, 2005. Since the Examiner subsequently issued an Advisory Action as noted above, applicants hereby submit a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and a Preliminary Amendment with the appropriate fee, as a further reply to the Final Office Action. The petition fee is also included. A terminal disclaimer is not necessary because the above-identified application is a utility application filed after June 8, 1995. Applicants further submit that the copy of the January 3, 2005 response and the postcard that was transmitted in the facsimile to the USPTO on May 6, 2005, showing that applicants did timely file a response on January 3, 2005, and the facts as stated above, should constitute a showing to the satisfaction of the Director that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unavoidable.

Request for Refund

Applicants further request that both this petition fee and the Extension of Time Fee be refunded to the Deposit Account indicated below, since the need to file the petition and request the extension of time occurred through no fault of applicants.

Fee Submission

1. Petition Fee

The petition fee for reviving an unavoidably abandoned application of \$500 for a large entity is included herewith.

27

28

29

30

2. Proposed Response and/or Fee

Applicants are filing concurrently herewith a Request for Continued Examination, and the required fee of \$790 is included herewith.

3. Extension of Time to Respond and Fee

Applicants hereby request that the shortened statutory time to file a response to the Final Office Action be extended by three months, to expire May 3, 2005, and the required large entity fee of \$1020 is included herewith.

4. Additional Fee Charges or Credit for Overpayment

Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 01-1940.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald M. Anderson Registration No. 28,829

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

Express Mail Label No. EV651959416US

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Kathy Paulino

(Name of person mailing paper/fee)

Signature of person mailing paper or fee)